Integrating critical cross-field outcomes in an anatomy course at a university of technology: A reflective perspective
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Background. Recent trends in higher education have become particularly directed towards incorporating elements of general education in profession-specific training. Consequently, the inclusion of critical cross-field outcomes (CCFOs) – a set of generic outcomes gazetted by the South African Qualification Authority – in curricula, is directed towards stimulating a critical social conscience among students. This embraces the concept of education, more than simply certification, in embedding underlying principles that foster lifelong learning, critical thinking and social responsibility, and provides an opportunity platform to examine our teaching strategies in the context of reflective practice.

Objectives. This article demonstrates an application of the theory of reflective practice in the modification of teaching strategies and the integration of the CCFOs in a human anatomy course at a university of technology.

Methods. We present the different teaching strategies that were applied, and highlight the CCFOs embodied in each approach.

Results. In so doing, we demonstrate how the integration of underpinning general education principles and discipline-specific core competencies can be easily attained through simple modifications of conventional teaching practices.

Conclusion. The teaching methods highlighted attempt to encourage and ensure that students evaluate, understand and apply their knowledge in an integrated and shared manner, as embraced by the CCFOs.


South African (SA) higher education institutions are aligned to the Higher Education Qualifications Framework (HEQF), which is committed towards creating discipline-specific learning programmes that underpin the critical cross-field outcomes (CCFOs). These outcomes, gazetted by the SA Qualification Authority (SAQA), are defined as generic outcomes directed towards stimulating a critical social conscience among students. These embrace the concept of ‘life-long learning, personal growth, honest business acumen, critical thinking and aesthetic appreciation’, and form standard competencies that are intended to underpin all qualifications registered on the National Qualifications Framework (NQF). Split into seven critical and five developmental outcomes, the CCFOs include: the identification and solving of problems using critical and creative thinking; the use of effective teamwork within study groups, organisations and communities; organising and managing oneself and one’s activities conscientiously; collecting, analysing, organising and critically evaluating information; communicating effectively using visual or language skills via oral and/or written presentations; using science and technology effectively and successfully demonstrating an understanding of the world as a set of related systems by recognising that problem-solving contexts do not exist in isolation.

Recently popularised as graduate attributes, the CCFOs contribute soft skills towards empowering students to become more socially aware and responsible citizens. The aspiration to develop graduate attributes at an institution forms part of the drivers’ encompassing innovation towards quality enhancement and improving the student experience, as well as creating a well-rounded graduate (K Sattar and L Cook – unpublished data, 2014). Teaching strategies therefore require reflection and consequent adaptation in an attempt to integrate discipline-specific knowledge with graduate attributes, as is promoted and required of the institution and higher education (HE).

Contemporary studies suggest that to improve and promote student learning, current curricula must explore and maximise the benefits of different teaching methods. A popularised approach in engaging academics towards exploring changes in teaching strategies pivots around theories on reflective practice. One of the more commonly referenced models of reflective practice is provided by Kolb, who identifies four learning stages and cycles, i.e. the concrete experience (a new experience of a situation that is encountered or a re-interpretation of an existing experience); reflective observation (of the new experience); abstract conceptualisation (reflection giving rise to a new idea or the modification of an existing concept); and active experimentation (applying the experience globally). According to Kolb, teachers could use this framework to critically evaluate a learning provision typically available to students and to develop more appropriate teaching/learning approaches.

Academic and clinical components of formal training of most medical training programmes include anatomy as an integral component of the programme, particularly taught during the first 2 years of the academic course. Consequently, an attempt towards integrating graduate attributes in the anatomy course provides an early opportunity to exercise awareness of the CCFOs that can contribute towards such an application becoming intrinsic in the learning experience over subsequent academic years. While human dissection supplemented by formal lectures forms the basis of most
anatomy courses, learning, both in the dissection laboratory and outside the classroom/laboratory setting, can be achieved by different teaching and learning strategies that embrace the CCFOs.

This article demonstrates an application of the theory of reflective practice in the modification of teaching strategies and the integration of the CCFOs in a human anatomy course at a university of technology. A synopsis of teaching practices that have been introduced/modified in the course to integrate the CCFOs into subject-specific content, is presented.

Methods
The Anatomy II course that is taught to allied health students within the homoeopathy and chiropractic second-year programme is primarily dissection based and includes didactic teaching (two 1-hour theory sessions per week) and practicals/dissections (three 1-hour sessions twice a week) over a 32-week year. The course is taught in the second academic year of the programme, with students having completed a similarly structured Anatomy I course during the first year of the programme. As a follow-on from Anatomy I, the Anatomy II course includes limb and back anatomy, neuroanatomy and head and neck anatomy. As such, the anatomy of the entire human body is completed by the end of the second academic year. In highlighting some of the changes in teaching approaches within this course, the different components of the theory of reflective practice and the methodological approaches applied within each cycle of the theoretical model are summarised.

Conceptual model for reflective thinking/practice
This article highlights the application of Kolb’s model on the theory of reflective practice, which identifies four learning stages/cycles that form the basis of reflective practice, i.e. the concrete experience; reflective observation; abstract conceptualisation; and active experimentation.

In the context of our study, the concrete experience relates to the introduction of innovative teaching and assessment approaches and forms the basis of this article. Much of this application relates to the fourth phase of reflective practice, i.e. active experimentation, as being the impetus for initiating the concrete experience. This is based on previous/conventional teaching and learning practices, lecturer and subject evaluations by students and consequent self-reflection in terms of ‘How we do what we do’ and more importantly, ‘How can we effect change to improve teaching and learning?’.

There are also secondary aspects that may prompt reasons for innovative teaching. In the case of this course in human anatomy, one such reason relates to the diverse learning abilities and learning styles of students in a class. A further intrinsic motivation pivots around the need to integrate the CCFOs into teaching and learning. Reflective observation centres on thinking about the experience, while abstract conceptualisation is based on the notion of learning from the experience. Much of these two phases are unravelled in our article, collectively through the viewpoints of the lecturer and highlighted in the discussion. The changes in teaching strategies implemented in the course are outlined in Table 1.

The different modes of teaching strategies implemented included assignments and projects, integrated theory and practical sessions and team teaching through dissection projects.

Assignments and projects
The traditional approach included individual written assignments, e.g. to provide an account on the brachial plexus and its contributions toward the movement of the upper limb. The modified approach in this strategy was the allocation of task-specific team assignments that involved model construction related to specific body systems and their functional demonstration. Examples of such task-specific assignments included either the design of a model of the upper limb to illustrate the muscle compartments of each region, and the demonstration of its functionality as a system of levers, or the design of a three-dimensional model of the head and neck region, demonstrating the dural venous sinuses of the brain.

Theory and practical sessions
Traditionally, this approach involved independent didactic lectures, followed by dissection sessions, which were modified by integrating both lectures and practical sessions, coupled with the demonstration of models and prosected specimens that enabled immediate integration of both theoretical and practical elements.

Cadaveric dissections during practical sessions
Traditionally, formal lectures on specific anatomical areas were conducted by an academic. Students were then assigned to dissection teams that worked on an allocated cadaver. The extent of learning and personal accountability was variable and depended on the extent of involvement of each team member and their ability/interest to work together. The modified approach included team teaching through the introduction of dissection projects during the practical sessions. Teams comprised 5 - 6 students, who were allocated specific dissection areas of their cadaver. Each team was required to present the specific topic/area and demonstrate their dissection area to the class in formats such as video clips, practical demonstrations, posters, PowerPoint presentations, traditional didactic teaching or a combination of one or more of these methods. Completion of this activity as a learning experience was marked by an assessment mark determined by the entire team.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Traditional and modified approaches to teaching and learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode of teaching</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment/project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated theory/practical sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team teaching by means of dissection projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Research

Recent studies highlighted the need for educators to be more reflective about their teaching approaches towards critical thinking and clinical reasoning.\textsuperscript{11,12} While clinical reasoning is a key aspect of medical practice, the complexity linked to teaching and learning is most difficult owing to its invisibility to students.\textsuperscript{10} Some investigators explored the use of action research,\textsuperscript{10,11} in which participant engagement is promoted within a structured means of reflection regarding their teaching practices.\textsuperscript{12}

The study explored the use of the making-thinking-visible approach and highlighted two themes, i.e. the students’ understanding of the reasoning process and the increased knowledge of individual teaching approaches to demonstrating clinical reasoning.\textsuperscript{13} The study concluded that the making-thinking-visible approach may support educators in articulating their own expert reasoning, as well as being a potential mechanism for personal reflection.\textsuperscript{14} In our study, teamwork formed the basis of all the approaches used and required an effective, responsible and interactive collaboration of all team members, with the intent of enhancing personal reflection and critical thinking.

### Table 2. Teaching strategies applied to integrate the critical cross-field outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical cross-field outcome</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Integrated theory/practical sessions</th>
<th>Team teaching through dissection projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Identifying and solving problems in which responses demonstrate that responsible decisions using critical and creative thinking have been made</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Working effectively with others as a member of a team, group, organisation or community</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Organising and managing oneself and one's activities responsibly and effectively</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Collecting, analysing, organising and critically evaluating information, integrating teaching and learning</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Communicating effectively using visual or language skills in modes of oral and/or written presentation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Using science and technology effectively and critically</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Demonstrating an understanding of the world as a set of related systems by recognising that problem-solving contexts do not exist in isolation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The modified teaching strategies implemented in relation to the seven CCFOs are shown in Table 2.

Our results highlight the changes made to the anatomy curriculum in the context of integrating the CCFOs. Details of the modifications are presented in the methods section of this article.

### Discussion

Allied health professionals, regardless of their occupational role, career stage or employment status, are required to be professionally competent when they exit HE institutions\textsuperscript{10} and enter the workforce. Therefore, HE training needs to provide professional competence that embraces the graduates’ attributes. Studies conducted in the UK corroborate this need by highlighting a professional competence framework essential for enhancing the productivity of allied health professionals.\textsuperscript{10} These studies concluded that allied health professionals will only be able to face the forthcoming challenges if they are open to opportunities to develop reflective thinking skills that foster useful engagement and support continued professional development.\textsuperscript{10}

Recent studies highlighted the need for educators to be more reflective about their teaching approaches towards critical thinking and clinical reasoning.\textsuperscript{11,12} While clinical reasoning is a key aspect of medical practice, the complexity linked to teaching and learning is most difficult owing to its invisibility to students.\textsuperscript{10} Some investigators explored the use of action research,\textsuperscript{10,11} in which participant engagement is promoted within a structured means of reflection regarding their teaching practices.\textsuperscript{12}
brain were demonstrated. The application of knowledge of concepts such as volume, density and flow, acquired through multidisciplinary integration of knowledge, demonstrated their inter-relatedness. The teamwork underlying these activities supports peer learning and promotes independent and critical analyses and review of all information provided by each team member, thereby enhancing the skill of being able to distinguish between relevant and irrelevant information. This outcome supported the acquisition of CCFO 4, in which skills appropriate to collecting and organising relevant information are achieved. This further promoted the conscious awareness of having a critical and analytical approach to gathering, analysing and evaluating information.

**Integrated theory and practical sessions**

Integrating anatomy lectures and practicals can be applied to foster self-directed learning and reflective practice. Combining several teaching approaches, such as traditional didactic teaching, cadaveric dissections, projections, plastination and medical imaging, complements each other and benefits the learning experience. Critical and creative thinking is therefore achieved as students develop unique ways of linking the dissected areas with theoretical background. This strategy promotes personal understanding, application and retention of key facts, as well as fostering the development of learning and recall as part of the learning experience. The strategy also encouraged the gathering of subject-relevant information and its evaluation, and consequently promoted the application of CCFOs 1, 3, 4, 5 and 7. Collecting, analysing and critically evaluating information therefore becomes an active component of the learning experience and students are expected to use the information provided, engage in and enhance further application. In some instances, formal lectures have been completely eliminated and primarily adopted as an integrated lecture and practical session within a practical setting. The assumption that students have engaged with the lecture material prior to the learning session, provides an expectation that they have some knowledge of the relevant topic. The ideal of students collecting, organising and critically analysing information is a desired outcome during teaching. Thus, the integrated nature of the practical component of this strategy lends itself to the application of various learning resources. Similarly, the integration of discipline-specific content, such as radiological techniques and co-ordinated anatomy and physiology teaching with anatomical teaching, improves the clinical student interest and the application of anatomy.

**Team teaching through dissection projects**

This strategy allowed the achievement of CCFOs 2, 5 and 6. The dissection experience supports group engagement/peer learning and working effectively with others as team members. Strategies employing the use of body painting were similarly reported to be successful in improving the learning experience for medical students using a team-learning approach. These studies corroborate its value as an adjunct to support the learning of human anatomy. More recently, the construction of anatomical casts was employed to improve the acquisition of anatomical knowledge. These studies have shown to improve the collaborative and problem-solving outcomes necessary to produce professional medical graduates. Thus, the teaching and learning strategy implemented in our study fosters and employs citizens.

**Conclusion**

The value of graduate attributes in HE has gained international recognition, particularly in universities of technology where vocational needs have formed the basis of academic training. The challenge, however, is in trying to integrate qualities such as accountability and shared responsibility into programmes that have traditionally focused solely on self-betterment and professional needs. To our knowledge, this is the first study that presents information of modified teaching methods within the context of human anatomy and in relation to the CCFOs. The teaching methods highlighted in our study attempt to encourage and ensure that students evaluate, understand and apply their knowledge in an integrated and shared manner, as embraced by the CCFOs. We also demonstrated how the application of the theory of reflective practice, coupled with the drive for change by institutional and HE recommendations, can stimulate academics to consider simple ways of applying innovative teaching approaches. Studies that evaluate student perceptions regarding the teaching modifications would further support the reflective practice approach to teaching. A more objective evaluation of the benefits of change (e.g. through assessment performance) would provide useful information and direction for further application.
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